arXiv:1111.5933v1 [math.OA] 25 Nov 2011

Approximating macroscopic observables in quantum spin systems with commuting matrices

Yoshiko Ogata*

November 28, 2011

Abstract

Macroscopic observables in a quantum spin system are given by sequences of spatial means of local elements $\frac{1}{2n+1}\sum_{j=-n}^{n}\gamma_j(A_i)$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $i=1,\cdots,m$ in a UHF algebra. One of their properties is that they commute asymptotically, as n goes to infinity. It is not true that any given set of asymptotically commuting matrices can be approximated by commuting ones in the norm topology. In this paper, we show that for macroscopic observables, this is true.

1 Introduction

The infinite quantum spin chain with one site algebra $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ is given by the UHF C^*-algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}} := \overline{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M_d(\mathbb{C})}^{C^*},$$

which is the C^*- inductive limit of the local algebras

$$\left\{ \mathcal{A}_\Lambda := \bigotimes_{\Lambda} M_d(\mathbb{C}) \middle| \quad \Lambda\subset\mathbb{Z}, \quad |\Lambda|<\infty \right\}.$$

Here, $|\Lambda|$ denotes the number of points in Λ. We denote $\mathcal{A}_{[-n,n]}$ by $\mathcal{A}_n$. Let γ_j, $j\in\mathbb{Z}$ be the j-lattice translation. We say that a state ω is translation invariant if $\omega\circ\gamma_j=\omega$ for all $j\in\mathbb{Z}$. We denote the set of all translation invariant states by S_γ. For each finite subset Λ of $\mathbb{Z}$, we set Tr_Λ to be the non-normalized trace over $\otimes_\Lambda M_d(\mathbb{C})$. Furthermore, we denote $\mathrm{Tr}_{[-n,n]}$ by Tr_n.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $A_1,\cdots,A_m$ be self-adjoint elements in $M_d(\mathbb{C})$, and define*

$$H_{i,n} := \frac{1}{2n+1}\sum_{j=-n}^{n}\gamma_j(A_i)\in\mathcal{A}_n,$$

---

*Graduate School of Mathematics, University of Tokyo, Japan *for each $i = 1, \cdots, m,\ n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist sequences of self-adjoint elements $Y_{i,n},\ i = 1, \cdots, m,\ n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$Y_{i,n} \in \mathcal{A}_n, \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \|H_{i,n} - Y_{i,n}\| = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \cdots, m,$$

and

$$[Y_{i,n}, Y_{j,n}] = 0, \quad \forall i, j = 1, \cdots, m.$$

Following the standard procedure in statistical mechanics, we can extend this theorem to general translation invariant interactions. (See Appendix D)

From the Theorem of Lin [L1], we already know that any pair of sequences of matrices whose commutator vanishes asymptotically can be approximated by commuting ones in general. Therefore, $m = 2$ case is already known. On the other hand, for $m \geq 3$, it is known that such a statement is not true in general [D]. However, for macroscopic observables, because of their nice thermodynamic structure, we can show that the Theorem is true.

This article is organized as follows: In section 2, we introduce the entropy function μ associated with $A_1, \cdots, A_m$. This function gives an estimate for rank of projections which are concentrating at value $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_m)$ with respect to $A_1, \cdots, A_m$ (Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4). In section 3, we consider a C^*-algebra $\prod_k M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}) / \oplus_k M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$. For each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we define an ideal I_s and investigate its property. We construct a tower of ideals, using the information of μ and I_s. By virtue of this ideal tower, in section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1, using the technique developed in [EGLP],[L1].

2 The entropy function $\mu(x)$

In the rest of this paper we fix self-adjoint elements $A_1, \cdots, A_m \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$. For a translation invariant state $\omega \in S_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$, the mean entropy

$$s(\omega) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2n+1} S_{[-n,n]}(\omega)$$

is known to exists (See[BR2]). Here, $S_{[-n,n]}(\omega)$ is the von Neumann entropy of $\omega|_{\mathcal{A}_n}$, the restriction of ω to $\mathcal{A}_n$. The function $S_\gamma(\mathcal{A}) \ni \omega \mapsto s(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}$ is affine and upper semi-continuous, when $S_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$ is equipped with the weak$*$-topology. Furthermore, it takes values in $[0, \log d]$.

Definition 2.1 *The entropy function $\mu : \mathbb{R}^m \to [-\infty, +\infty)$ associated with $A_1, \cdots, A_m$ is defined by*

$$\mu(x) := \begin{cases} \sup\left\{s(\omega) : \omega \in S_\gamma(\mathcal{A}),\ \omega(A_i) = x_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}, \\ \quad \text{if } \{\omega \in S_\gamma(\mathcal{A}) :\ \omega(A_i) = x_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq m\} \neq \phi \\ \qquad -\infty, \\ \quad \text{if } \{\omega \in S_\gamma(\mathcal{A}) :\ \omega(A_i) = x_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq m\} = \phi \end{cases}. \qquad (1)$$

By the definition, μ is concave, upper semi continuous and $\mu(x) \leq \log d$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We set the domain of μ by $\mathrm{dom}\mu := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m \ : \ \mu(x) > -\infty\}$. As the set $\{(\omega(A_1), \cdots, \omega(A_m)) \ : \ \omega \in S_\gamma(\mathcal{A})\}$ is in $\prod_{i=1}^m [-\|A_i\|, \|A_i\|]$, $\mathrm{dom}\mu$ is bounded.
We denote the level sets of μ by

$$X_s := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m \ : \ \mu(x) \geq s\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2}$$

From the upper semi-continuity and the concavity of μ, X_s is compact and convex. Note that if $x \in dom\mu$ then $\mu(x) \in [0, \log d]$. From this, we have $\mathrm{dom}\mu = X_0$. Therefore, $\mathrm{dom}\mu$ is compact.
The entropy function μ is the Legendre transform of the *free energy* function p:

Lemma 2.1 *Let* $p : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a function defined by*

$$p(\alpha) := \log \mathrm{Tr}_0 e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i A_i}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Then we have

$$p(\alpha) = \sup\{(\alpha, x) + \mu(x) \ : x \in \mathbb{R}^m\}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m, \tag{3}$$

and

$$\mu(x) = -\sup\{(\alpha, x) - p(\alpha) \ : \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m\}. \tag{4}$$

Here, (α, x) *is the inner product of* $\mathbb{R}^m$ *:* $(\alpha, x) := \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i$.

Proof See Appendix. $\square$
Later, we will need contour lines of μ which are ε-dense in $\mathrm{dom}\mu$ in the following sense. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, we denote the ε-neighborhood of A by $B_\varepsilon(A)$.

Lemma 2.2 *For any* $\varepsilon > 0$*, there exists a finite sequence of real numbers* $s_0 > s_1 > \cdots > s_n$ *with* $s_n < 0$*, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 = \sup\{\mu(x) \ : \ x \in \mathbb{R}^m\} < \infty, \\ X_{s_k} \subset B_\varepsilon(X_{s_{k-1}}), \quad k = 1, \cdots, n, \\ \mathrm{dom}\mu = X_0 = X_{s_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, X_{s_0} *consists of one point*

$$x_0 := (\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_0 A_1}{d}, \cdots \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_0 A_m}{d}) \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Proof Recall that μ takes values in $[-\infty, \log d]$, and $\mathrm{dom}\mu$ is non-empty. Therefore, $s_0 := \sup\{\mu(x) \ : \ x \in \mathbb{R}^m\} = \sup\{\mu(x) \ : \ x \in \mathrm{dom}\mu = X_0\}$ is finite. As $\mathrm{dom}\mu = X_0$ is compact and μ is upper semi-continuous, there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $s_0 := \mu(x_0)$.

To see that X_{s_0} consists of one point x_0, note from Lemma 2.1 that $\mu(x) = s_0$ is equivalent to $-p(0) \geq (\alpha, x) - p(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$. As p is a differentiable function, this implies $x_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} p(0) = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_0 A_i}{d}$. Therefore X_{s_0} consists of one point $x_0 := (\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_0 A_1}{d}, \cdots \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_0 A_m}{d})$.
Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We claim that there exists $s_1 < s_0$ such that $X_{s_1} \subset B_\varepsilon(X_{s_0})$.: Assume the claim were false. Then for any increasing sequence of real numbers $\{s_k\}_k$, $s_k < s_0$, $s_k \uparrow s_0$, the sets $X_{s_k} \cap B_\varepsilon(X_{s_0})^c$ are not empty. Choose $x_k \in X_{s_k} \cap B_\varepsilon(X_{s_0})^c$ for each k. By the compactness of $\mathrm{dom}\mu$, $\{x_k\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{x'_k\}$, $x'_k \to x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. By the upper semi-continuity of μ, we have $x \in X_{s_0}$. However, this means x'_ks are in $B_\varepsilon(X_{s_0})$ eventually, which is a contradiction. Accordingly, we obtain the claim.
Let C be a finite positive number such that $\max\{\mathrm{diam}(\mathrm{dom}\mu), \varepsilon\} < C$. Our second claim is that for any $s < s_0$,

$$X_{s'} \subset B_\varepsilon(X_s), \quad s - \frac{\varepsilon(s_0 - s)}{C - \varepsilon} \leq \forall s' < s \tag{5}$$

holds. Let s' be a real number such that $s - \frac{\varepsilon(s_0-s)}{C-\varepsilon} \leq s' < s < s_0$. From the concavity of μ, we have $(1-t)x + tx_0 \in X_{(1-t)s'+ts_0}$ for any $x \in X_{s'}$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$. As

$$\|x - ((1-t)x + tx_0)\| \leq t\,\|x - x_0\| < tC,$$

this implies

$$X_{s'} \subset B_{tC}(X_{(1-t)s'+ts_0}),$$

for any $t \in [0,1]$. Note that $\frac{s-s'}{s_0-s'} C \leq \varepsilon$, if $s - \frac{\varepsilon(s_0-s)}{C-\varepsilon} \leq s' < s$. Therefore setting $t := \frac{s-s'}{s_0-s'} \in [0,1]$, we obtain $X_{s'} \subset B_{\frac{s-s'}{s_0-s'}C}(X_s) \subset B_\varepsilon(X_s)$.
Now we define $s_k := s_0 - \left(\frac{C}{C-\varepsilon}\right)^{k-1} \cdot (s_0 - s_1)$, $k \geq 1$. These s_k satisfy $s_k = s_{k-1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{C-\varepsilon} \cdot (s_0 - s_{k-1}) \leq s_k < s_{k-1}$, $k \geq 2$. Therefore, by the above claim, we get $X_{s_k} \subset B_\varepsilon(X_{s_{k-1}})$. As $\frac{C}{C-\varepsilon} > 1$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s_n < 0$. For this n, we have $\mathrm{dom}\mu = X_0 = X_{s_n}$.$\square$

One important property of the entropy function μ is that it gives an asymptotic estimate for rank of projections. We first give the upper bound:

Lemma 2.3 *Let C be a nonempty compact convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$, $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ a subsequence of $\mathbb{N}$, and $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ a sequence of projections in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $p_k \in \mathcal{A}_{n_k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k H_{1,n_k}}{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k}, \cdots, \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k H_{m,n_k}}{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k}\right) \in C_\varepsilon,$$

eventually. Then we have

$$\limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k + 1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k \leq \sup\{\mu(x) : x \in C\}. \tag{6}$$

Proof First we claim

$$\limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1}\log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k \leq \inf\left\{\sup\left\{p(\alpha)-(\alpha,x):\quad x\in C\right\}:\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^m\right\}. \tag{7}$$

To prove this, we use an argument in [DMN1]. Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$. By the positivity of the relative entropy, we have

$$0 \leq S\left(\frac{p_k}{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k}, \frac{e^{(2n_k+1)\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i\cdot H_{i,n_k}}}{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} e^{(2n_k+1)\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i\cdot H_{i,n_k}}}\right).$$

From this, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2n_k+1}\log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k \leq p(\alpha) - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k H_{i,n_k}}{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k}.$$

By the assumption, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k H_{i,n_k}}{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k} \geq \inf\{(\alpha,x) : x\in C_\varepsilon\},$$

eventually. Therefore, we get

$$\limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1}\log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k \leq p(\alpha) - \inf\left\{(\alpha,x):\quad x\in C_\varepsilon\right\},$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Taking $\varepsilon \to 0$ limit, we obtain

$$\limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1}\log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k \leq p(\alpha) - \inf\left\{(\alpha,x):\quad x\in C\right\} = \sup\left\{p(\alpha)-(\alpha,x):\quad x\in C\right\},$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$. From this we have

$$\limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1}\log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k \leq \inf\left\{\sup\left\{p(\alpha)-(\alpha,x):\quad x\in C\right\}\ :\ \alpha\in\mathbb{R}^m\right\}. \tag{8}$$

The last term in (8) can be written as

$$\inf\left\{\sup\left\{p(\alpha)-(\alpha,x):\quad x\in C\right\}\ :\ \alpha\in\mathbb{R}^m\right\} = -\sup\left\{\inf\left\{(\alpha,x)-p(\alpha):\quad x\in C\right\}\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^m\right\}. \tag{9}$$

From Sion's lemma [Sn], we have

$$\sup\left\{\inf\left\{(\alpha,x)-p(\alpha):\quad x\in C\right\}\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^m\right\} = \inf\left\{\sup\left\{(\alpha,x)-p(\alpha):\quad \alpha\in\mathbb{R}^m\right\}x\in C\right\}.$$

By the equality (4), the last term is equal to $\inf\{-\mu(x)\ :\ x\in C\} = -\sup\{\mu(x)\ :\ x\in C\}$. Combining this and (8), we obtain (6). □

In order to prove the lower bound, we use the following Theorem from [BKSS] :

Theorem 2.1 ([BKSS]) *Let ω be an ergodic state over $\mathcal{A}$ and define*

$$\beta_{\varepsilon,n}(\omega) := \min\left\{\log \mathrm{Tr}_n q \ : \ q \in \mathrm{Proj}(\mathcal{A}_n),\ \omega(q) \geq 1-\varepsilon\right\},$$

for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2n+1}\beta_{\varepsilon,n}(\omega) = s(\omega).$$

The lower bound is given as follows:

Lemma 2.4 *Let $U_1, \cdots, U_m$ be open subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, $\{n_k\}$ a subsequence of $\mathbb{N}$, and $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ a sequence of projections with $p_k \in \mathcal{A}_{n_k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|(1-p_k)f_1(H_{1,n_k})\cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k})\| = \lim_{k\to\infty} \|f_1(H_{1,n_k})\cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k})(1-p_k)\| = 0, \tag{10}$$

for all continuous functions $f_1, \cdots, f_m$ over $\mathbb{R}$ with $\mathrm{supp} f_i \subset U_i$*, $i = 1, \cdots, m$. Then we have*

$$\liminf_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k \geq \sup\left\{\mu(x) \ : \ x \in \prod_{i=1}^m U_i\right\}.$$

Proof First we show $\lim_{k\to\infty} \omega(p_k) = 1$, for any ergodic state ω over $\mathcal{A}$ with $\omega(A_i) \in U_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$. Let $f_1, \cdots, f_m$ be continuous functions over $\mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq f_i \leq 1$, $f_i(\omega(A_i)) = 1$, and $\mathrm{supp} f_i \subset U_i$. From von Neumann's ergodic Theorem [Sm], by the ergodicity of ω, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \omega\left(f_1(H_{1,n})\cdots f_m(H_{m,n})\right) = \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(\omega(A_i)) = 1.$$

From this and the assumption (10), we have

$$1 = \liminf_{k\to\infty} \left|\omega\left(f_1(H_{1,n_k})\cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k})\right)\right| \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} \left|\omega(p_k f_1(H_{1,n_k})\cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k})p_k)\right| \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} \omega(p_k).$$

We thus obtain $\lim_{k\to\infty} \omega(p_k) = 1$.
Let ω be an ergodic state with $\omega(A_i) \in U_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$. The above assertion means for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $\omega(p_k) \geq 1-\varepsilon$ for k large enough. We thus have $\beta_{\varepsilon,n_k}(\omega) \leq \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k$ eventually. Applying Theorem 2.1, we have

$$s(\omega) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2n+1}\beta_{\varepsilon,n}(\omega) \leq \liminf_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k. \tag{11}$$

Now we claim that this inequality can be extended to general translation invariant states. To do so, we use a standard technique in statistical mechanics.(See [Sm],[BR2]): Let ω be a translation invariant state with $\omega(A_i) \in U_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$. Define a translation invariant state $\bar{\omega}_L$, by

$$\bar{\omega}_L := \frac{1}{2L+1} \sum_{j\in[-L,L]} \tilde{\omega}_L \circ \gamma_j, \quad \tilde{\omega}_L := \bigotimes \omega|_{\mathcal{A}_L},$$

for each $L \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well known that $\bar{\omega}_L$ is ergodic and $\bar{\omega}_L \to \omega$ in weak$*$-topology of $S_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, $\bar{\omega}_L(A_i) \in U_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, m$ eventually, as $L \to \infty$. Furthermore, the mean entropy $s(\bar{\omega}_L)$ of $\bar{\omega}_L$ is equal to $\frac{1}{2L+1} S_{[-L,L]}(\omega)$, where $S_{[-L,L]}(\omega)$ is the von Neumann entropy of $\omega|_{\mathcal{A}_L}$.
Applying (11) for the ergodic state $\bar{\omega}_L$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2L+1} S_{[-L,L]}(\omega) = s(\bar{\omega}_L) \leq \liminf_k \frac{1}{2n_k + 1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k.$$

Taking $L \to \infty$ limit, we obtain

$$s(\omega) \leq \liminf_k \frac{1}{2n_k + 1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k.$$

This implies the result. □

3 An ideal tower in $\prod_k M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}) / \oplus M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$

Let $\{n_k\}_k$ be a subsequence of $\mathbb{N}$. We fix this sequence in the rest of this section. Define a C^*-algebra B by

$$B := \prod_k M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ (x_k) \ : \ \sup_k \|x_k\| < \infty, \ x_k \in M_{n_k}, \ k \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

and its closed ideal D by

$$D := \oplus_k M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ (x_k) \in B \ : \ \lim_{k \to \infty} \|x_k\| = 0 \right\}.$$

For each $i = 1, \cdots, m$, (H_{i,n_k}) is a self-adjoint element in B. We denote the quotient map from B to $A := B/D$ by π. The C^*-algebra A has real rank zero. It is well known that for any projection p in A, there exists a projection (p_k) in B such that $\pi((p_k)) = p$. Similarly, for any partial isometry v in A, there exists a partial isometry (v_k) in B such that $\pi((v_k)) = v$. (See Theorem 1.3 [L2].)
Take $R > \max_{i=1,\cdots,m} \|A_i\|$ and define a compact subset X of $\mathbb{R}^m$ by $X := \prod_{i=1}^m [-R, R]$. Note that $X_s = \{x \in X \ ; \ \mu(x) \geq s\}$. As the sequences $H_{i,n},\ i = 1, \cdots, m$ mutually commute asymptotically, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|[H_{i,n}, H_{j,n}]\| = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \cdots, m,$$

we have

$$[\pi((H_{i,n_k})), \pi((H_{j,n_k}))] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \cdots, m.$$

Therefore, we can define a $*$-homomorphism $\varphi : C(X) \to A$ by

$$\varphi(f) := f\left(\pi((H_{1,n_k})), \cdots, \pi((H_{m,n_k}))\right), \quad f \in C(X).$$

For each $i = 1, \cdots, m$, define $h_i \in C(X)$ by

$$h_i(x) = x_i, \quad x = (x_1, \cdots, x_m).$$

Clearly, $\varphi(h_i) = \pi((H_{i,n_k}))$.

We define a closed subset S of X as follows : $x \in S$ iff for any neighborhood U of x, there exists $f \in C(X)$ with supp$f \subset U$ such that $\varphi(f) \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.1

$$\mathrm{dom}\mu = S.$$

Proof To prove $S \subset \mathrm{dom}\mu$, let $x \in S$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We first prove that there exists a translation invariant state $\bar{\omega}_\varepsilon$ such that $(\bar{\omega}_\varepsilon(A_1), \cdots, \bar{\omega}_\varepsilon(A_m)) \in B_{4\varepsilon\sqrt{m}}(x)$. By Lemma A.1, there exists a projection p in A such that

$$\hat{\varphi}(1_{\overline{B_\varepsilon(x)}}) \leq p \leq \hat{\varphi}(1_{B_{2\varepsilon(x)}}),$$

where $\hat{\varphi} : C(X)^{**} \to \mathcal{A}^{**}$ is the extension of φ. Let (p_k) be a projection in B such that $\pi((p_k)) = p$.
From the definition of p, we have $\|\varphi(h_i)p - x_i p\| < 3\varepsilon$. This implies

$$\|(H_{i,n_k} - x_i)\, p_k\| < 3\varepsilon, \tag{12}$$

for k large enough. By the assumption $x \in S$, there exists $f \in C(X)$ with $\varphi(f) \neq 0$ and supp$f \subset B_\varepsilon(x)$. We may assume $0 \leq f \leq 1$. As $0 \leq f \leq 1_{\overline{B_\varepsilon(x)}}$, we have $0 \leq \varphi(f) \leq \hat{\varphi}(1_{\overline{B_\varepsilon(x)}}) \leq p$. From this, there exists a positive element (a_k) in B such that $0 \leq a_k \leq p_k \; \forall k$, and $\pi((a_k)) = \varphi(f)$. As $\pi((a_k)) = \varphi(f) \neq 0$, there exists a subsequence $(a_{k_M})_M$ of (a_k) such that $a_{k_M} \neq 0$, for all M. Let ω_M be a state over $\mathcal{A}_{n_{k_M}}$ with a density matrix $\frac{a_{k_M}}{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_{k_M}} a_{k_M}}$, and define a translation invariant state $\bar{\omega}_M$ by

$$\bar{\omega}_M := \frac{1}{2n_{k_M}+1} \sum_{j \in [-n_{k_M}, n_{k_M}]} \tilde{\omega}_M \circ \gamma_j, \quad \tilde{\omega}_M := \bigotimes \omega_M.$$

Then we have

$$\bar{\omega}_M(A_i) = \omega_M(H_{i,n_{k_M}}),$$

for all $i = 1, \cdots, m$. On the other hand, from (12), we get

$$\left|\omega_M(H_{i,n_{k_M}}) - x_i\right| = \left|\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_{k_M}}\left(a_{k_M} p_{k_M}\left(H_{i,n_{k_M}} - x_i\right)\right)}{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_{k_M}} a_{k_M}}\right| \leq \left\|p_{k_M}\left(H_{i,n_{k_M}} - x_i\right)\right\| < 4\varepsilon,$$

for M large enough. Hence, we obtain

$$|\bar{\omega}_M(A_i) - x_i| < 4\varepsilon,$$

for M large enough. We define $\bar{\omega}_\varepsilon := \bar{\omega}_M$, for such large M, and the claim is established.
Next, we consider the net of translation invariant states $\{\bar{\omega}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ taken as above. As the space of translation invariant states $S_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$ is wk$*$-compact, the net $\{\bar{\omega}_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$ has a convergent subnet $\{\bar{\omega}_{\varepsilon'}\}_{\varepsilon'}$, $\bar{\omega}_{\varepsilon'} \to \omega \in S_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$, in wk$*$-topology. As $(\bar{\omega}_\varepsilon(A_1), \cdots, \bar{\omega}_\varepsilon(A_m)) \in B_\delta(x)$ eventually for any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\omega(A_i) = x_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, m.$$

Hence we obtain a translation invariant state ω with $\omega(A_i) = x_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, m$. This implies $x \in \mathrm{dom}\mu$. We thus obtain $S \subset \mathrm{dom}\mu$.
Next we prove $\mathrm{dom}\mu \subset S$. Let $x \in S^c$. By the definition of S, there exists an open neighborhood U of x such that $\varphi(f) = 0$ for all $f \in C(X)$ with $\mathrm{supp} f \subset U$. We claim $\mu(x) = -\infty$. Assume $\mu(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists $\omega \in S_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\omega(A_i) = x_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, m$. Define $\rho := \bigotimes \omega|_{\mathcal{A}_0}$. This state is an ergodic state with $\rho(A_i) = \omega(A_i) = x_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, m$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f_i \in C([-R, R]),\ i = 1, \cdots, m$, so that $\overline{B_{\sqrt{m}\varepsilon}(x)} \subset U$, and $f_i(x_i) = 1,\ f_i|_{B_\varepsilon(x_i)^c} = 0,\ 0 \le f_i \le 1$. Then $f \in C(X)$ given by

$$f(y) := f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m), \quad y = (y_1, \cdots, y_m) \in \prod [-R, R] = X$$

has its support in $\overline{B_{\sqrt{m}\varepsilon}(x)}$, hence in U. Therefore, by the assumption we have

$$0 = \varphi(f) = f_1(\pi((H_{1,n_k}))) \cdots f_m(\pi((H_{m,n_k}))) = \pi\left((f_1(H_{1,n_k}) \cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k}))\right).$$

This means

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} f_1(H_{1,n_k}) \cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k}) = 0.$$

On the other hand, by the ergodicity of ρ, we get

$$0 = \lim_k \rho\left(f_1(H_{1,n_k}) \cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k})\right) = f_1(\rho(A_1)) \cdots f_m(\rho(A_m)) = f_1(x_1) \cdots f_m(x_m) = 1,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we obtain $\mu(x) = -\infty$. $\square$

Given C^*-algebras A_1, A_2 and a $*$-homomorphism $\rho : A_1 \to A_2$, we extend ρ naturally to a $*$-homomorphism from $M_N(A_1)$ to $M_N(A_2)$ for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote it by the same symbol ρ.

Proposition 3.1 *For each $s \in \mathbb{R}^m$, define a set of projections $\mathcal{S}_s$ in A by*

$$\mathcal{S}_s := \left\{ e \in \mathrm{Proj}A \ :\ \exists (e_k) \in \mathrm{Proj}(B)\ s.t.\ e = \pi((e_k)), \limsup \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} e_k < s \right\}$$

and let I_s be the closed ideal of A generated by $\mathcal{S}_s$. Then the following statements hold:

(i) *For any $p \in \mathrm{Proj}(A)$, p is in the ideal I_s iff*

$$\limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k < s,$$

for all $(p_k) \in \mathrm{Proj}B$ such that $p = \pi((p_k))$.

(ii) *For all* $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $p \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_N(I_s))$,

$$\limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k < s,$$

for all $(p_k) \in \mathrm{Proj} M_N(B)$ *such that* $p = \pi(p_k)$.

(iii) *Let* p *be a projection in* A, *and suppose that there exists* $(p_k) \in \mathrm{Proj}(B)$ *such that* $p = \pi((p_k))$ *and*

$$\liminf_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k \geq s.$$

Then for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $q \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_N(I_s))$, *we have*

$$q \lesssim p.$$

(iv) *For any* $x \in X_s$ *and its open neighborhood* U *in* X, *there exists a continuous function* $g \in C(X)$ *with* $g|_{U^c} = 0$, $0 \leq g \leq 1$, *satisfying the following property: for any* $p \in \mathrm{Proj}(A)$ *such that* $0 \leq \varphi(g) \leq p$ *and* $(p_k) \in \mathrm{Proj}(B)$ *with* $p = \pi((p_k))$, *we have*

$$\liminf \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k \geq s.$$

(v) *If* $g \in C(X)$ *satisfies* $g|_{X_s} = 0$, *then* $\varphi(g) \in I_s$.

(vi) *If* $s < 0$, *then* $I_s = \{0\}$.

Proof (i)The "If" part is trivial. To prove the "only if" part, we first note, as shown in [L2], that $e \in \mathcal{S}_s$ iff $\limsup \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} e_k < s$ for *any* $(e_k) \in \mathrm{Proj}(B)$ such that $e = \pi((e_k))$. This follows from the fact that for any $(e_k), (f_k) \in \mathrm{Proj} B$ with $\pi((e_k)) = \pi((f_k))$, $e_k \sim f_k$ holds eventually as $k \to \infty$. From Proposition 1.13 of [L2], for any $p \in \mathrm{Proj}(I_s)$, there exist finite number of projections $e_1, \cdots, e_l$ in $\mathcal{S}_s$ such that $p \lesssim e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus e_l$ in $M_l(I_s)$. Let $v \in M_{1,l}(I_s)$ be a partial isometry such that

$$vv^* = p, \quad v^*v \leq e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus e_l.$$

For this v, there exist $(p_k) \in \mathrm{Proj}(B)$, $(q_k) \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_l(B))$, and $(v_k) \in M_{1,l}(B)$ such that $p_k = v_k v_k^*, v_k^* v_k \leq q_k$, $\pi(p_k) = p$, $\pi(q_k) = e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus e_l$, and $\pi(v_k) = v$. This can be proven by the same argument as Theorem 1.3 of [L2]. As $e_i \in \mathcal{S}_s$, $i = 1, \cdots, l$, there exists $(e^i_k) \in \mathrm{Proj}(B)$ such that $\pi((e^i_k)) = e_i$ with $\limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} e^i_k < s$. For these (e^i_k), we have $\pi(e^1_k \oplus \cdots \oplus e^l_k) = e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus e_l = \pi(q_k)$. Therefore, for k large enough, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k = \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} v_k^* v_k \leq \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} q_k = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k}(e^i_k).$$

From this, we obtain

$$\limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k \leq \limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \sum_{i=1}^{l} \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} e_k^i = \max_{1 \leq i \leq l} \limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} e_k^i < s.$$

This means $p \in \mathcal{S}_s$. From the assertion at the beginning of the proof, we obtain the claim.

(ii)Using the fact that $M_N(I_s)$ is the closed ideal of $M_N(A)$ generated by $\mathcal{S}_s^N := \{(e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus e_N) \ : \ e_i \in \mathcal{S}_s\}$, proof of (ii) is the same as that of (i).

(iii)Let p and q be as in (iii). From (ii), for any projection (q_k) in $M_N(B)$ with $q = \pi((q_k))$, we have

$$s_0 := \limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} q_k < s.$$

With this and the assumption on p, we get

$$\liminf_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k}{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} q_k} \geq \liminf_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k - \limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} q_k \geq s - s_0 > 0.$$

This means $\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k > \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} q_k$, hence $q_k \precsim p_k$ for k large enough. Therefore, we have $q \precsim p$.

(iv) For $x \in X_s \subset \mathrm{dom}\mu$ and its open neighborhood U in X, there exist open subsets $U_i, \ i = 1, \cdots, m$ of $[-R, R]$ with $x \in \overline{\prod_{i=1}^m U_i} \subset U$, and $g \in C(X)$ satisfying $0 \leq g \leq 1$, $g|_{\overline{\prod_{i=1}^m U_i}} = 1$, $g|_{U^c} = 0$. We prove that this g enjoys the required property. For any $f_i \in C(\mathbb{R})$ with *supp*$f_i \subset U_i$, we have $(1-g)\prod_{i=1}^m f_i \circ h_i = 0$ and thus $(1-\varphi(g))\prod_{i=1}^m f_i(\pi((H_{i,n_k}))) = (1-\varphi(g))\prod_{i=1}^m \varphi(f_i \circ h_i) = 0$. Now, for any $p \in \mathrm{Proj}(A)$ with $0 \leq \varphi(g) \leq p$, we get

$$(1-p)\prod_{i=1}^m f_i(\pi((H_{i,n_k}))) = 0,$$

from

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left(\pi\left(f_1(H_{1,n_k}) \cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k})\right)\right)^* (1-p) \left(\pi\left(f_1(H_{1,n_k}) \cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k})\right)\right) \\ &\leq \left(\pi\left(f_1(H_{1,n_k}) \cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k})\right)\right)^* (1-\varphi(g)) \left(\pi\left(f_1(H_{1,n_k}) \cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k})\right)\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For any projection (p_k) in B with $\pi((p_k)) = p$, this means

$$\lim_k \|(1-p_k) f_1(H_{1,n_k}) \cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k})\| = 0.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_k \|f_1(H_{1,n_k}) \cdots f_m(H_{m,n_k})(1-p_k)\| = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\liminf \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} p_k \geq \sup\{\mu(y) \ : \ y \in \prod_{i=1}^m U_i\} \geq \mu(x) \geq s.$$

(v) Let x be an element in $X_s^c \cap X$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ a positive number such that $\overline{B_{\sqrt{m}\varepsilon}(x)} \subset X_s^c$. Let $g \in C(X)$ be a function $0 \le g \le 1$ with $\mathrm{supp} g \subset B_\varepsilon(x)$. We prove $\varphi(g) \in I_s$. It suffices to consider the case $\varphi(g) \ne 0$.
From Lemma A.1, there exists a projection r in A such that $\varphi(g) \le \hat{\varphi}(1_{\mathrm{supp} g}) \le r \le \hat{\varphi}(1_{B_\varepsilon(x)})$. For this r, we have

$$\left\|\pi(((H_{i,n_k} - x^i)r_k))\right\| = \left\|\varphi(h_i)r - x^i r\right\| \le \varepsilon, \tag{13}$$

where (r_k) is a projection in B such that $r = \pi((r_k))$. As $\varphi(g) \ne 0$, we have $r \ne 0$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence $\{r_{k_M}\}$ of $\{r_k\}$ consisting of all the nonzero projections in $\{r_k\}$. For this subsequence, and for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_{k_M}} r_{k_M} H_{1,n_{k_M}}}{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_{k_M}} r_{k_M}}, \cdots, \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_{k_M}} r_{k_M} H_{m,n_{k_M}}}{\mathrm{Tr}_{n_{k_M}} r_{k_M}}\right) \in \overline{\left(B_{\sqrt{m}\varepsilon}(x)\right)_\delta},$$

eventually from (13). Therefore from Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k + 1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} r_{n_k} \le \sup\{\mu(x) : x \in \overline{B_{\sqrt{m}\varepsilon}(x)}\}.$$

By the upper semi-continuity of μ, we have

$$\sup\{\mu(x) \ : \ x \in \overline{B_{\sqrt{m}\varepsilon}(x)}\} < s.$$

This means $r \in I_s$. As $\varphi(g) \le r$, we have $\varphi(g) \in I_s$.
General cases follow from this, using partition of unity and approximation of g with continuous functions with supports in X_s^c.
(vi) Assume $s < 0$. If $I_s \ne \{0\}$, then there exists a nonzero projection $e \in \mathcal{S}_s$. Let $(e_k) \in \mathrm{Proj}(B)$ such that $e = \pi(e_k)$ and

$$\limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k + 1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} e_k < s.$$

As $e \ne 0$, there exists a subsequence (e'_k) of (e_k) such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{n_{k'}} e'_k \ge 1$. Therefore, we have

$$s < 0 \le \limsup_k \frac{1}{2n_k + 1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} e_k < s,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $I_s = \{0\}$ □

Now we construct an ideal tower.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $\eta > 0$ be a positive number. Then*

(i) *There exists a finite sequence of real numbers $s_0 > s_1 > \cdots > s_n$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 = \sup\{\mu(x) \ : \ x \in \mathbb{R}^m\} < \infty, \quad s_n < 0, \\ X_{s_k} \subset B_\eta(X_{s_{k-1}}), \quad k = 1, \cdots, n, \\ \mathrm{dom}\mu = X_0 = X_{s_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $X_{s_k},\ k=1,\cdots,n$ *are compact and convex, and* X_{s_0} *consists of one point*

$$x_0 := (\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_0 A_1}{d},\cdots\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_0 A_m}{d}) \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

(ii) *For* $s_0,\cdots,s_n$ *in (i), there exist points* $\lambda_{ij}\in X,\ i=0,\cdots,n-1,\ j=1.\cdots,l_i$ *with* $\lambda_{ij}\neq\lambda_{i'j'}$ *for* $(i,j)\neq(i',j')$*, such that*

$$\lambda_{ij}\in X_{s_i}\backslash X_{s_{i-1}},\ i=0,1,\cdots,n-1,\ j=1,\cdots,l_i,$$

where we set $X_{s_{-1}}:=\phi$*. For each* $k=0,\cdots,n-1$*, the set* $\{\lambda_{ij}\ :\ i=0,\cdots,k,\ j=1,\cdots,l_i\}$ *is* 2η*-dense in* $X_{s_{k+1}}$*. Furthermore,* $l_0=1$ *and* $X_{s_0}=\{\lambda_{01}\}$*.*

(iii) *For* $\{\lambda_{ij}\}$ *in (ii) and any* $\beta>0$*, there exist mutually orthogonal projections* $\{r_{ij}\ : i=0,\cdots,n-1, j=1,\cdots,l_i\}$ *in* A *with* $r_{ij}\in I_{s_{i-1}},\quad i=1,\cdots,n-1,\ 1-r_{01}\in I_{s_0}$*, satisfying the following conditions: for any* $g\in C(X)$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}&r_{ij}\varphi(g)r_{i'j'}=0,\quad (i,j)\neq(i',j'),\\ &\|\varphi(g)r_{ij}-g(\lambda_{ij})r_{ij}\|\leq\sup\left\{|g(\zeta)-g(\lambda_{ij})|\ :\ |\zeta-\lambda_{ij}|<\beta\,,\zeta\in X\right\},\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

and for a projection $r:=\sum_{ij}r_{ij}$*, we have*

$$\left\|\varphi(g)r-\sum_{ij}g(\lambda_{ij})r_{ij}\right\|\leq\sup\left\{|g(\zeta)-g(\lambda)|\ :\ |\zeta-\lambda|<\beta,\ \zeta,\lambda\in X\right\}.\tag{15}$$

Furthermore, for each $i=0,\cdots,n-1, j=1,\cdots,l_i$*, there exists a projection* (r^k_{ij}) *in* B *with* $\pi((r^k_{ij}))=r_{ij}$*, such that*

$$\liminf\frac{1}{2n_k+1}\log\mathrm{Tr}_{n_k}r^k_{ij}\geq s_i.\tag{16}$$

Proof (i) is proven in Lemma 2.2. To prove (ii), choose for each $k=0,\cdots,n-1$, a finite set of elements $E_k:=\{\zeta^{(k)}_j\}_{j=1,\cdots,m_k}$ in X_{s_k} which is η-dense in the compact set X_{s_k}. As $X_{s_{k+1}}\subset B_\eta(X_{s_k})$, E_k is 2η-dense in $X_{s_{k+1}}$, $k=0,\cdots,n-1$. Define $\Lambda_i:=\left(\cup_{k=0}^{n-1}E_k\right)\cap(X_{s_i}\backslash X_{s_{i-1}})$, $i=0,\cdots,n-1$. Then we have $\Lambda_i\subset X_{s_i}\backslash X_{s_{i-1}}$ and $\cup_{i=0}^k\Lambda_i$ is 2η-dense in $X_{s_{k+1}}$. Labeling elements in Λ_i as $\Lambda_i=\{\lambda_{ij}\}_{j=1,\cdots,l_i}$, for each $i=0,\cdots,n-1$, we obtain $\{\lambda_{ij}\}$ which satisfy the conditions in (ii).

Now for an arbitrary $\beta>0$, we construct projections $\{r_{ij}\}$ in (iii). Fix $\delta>0$ so that

$$\delta<\frac{1}{4}\min\{|\lambda_{ij}-\lambda_{i'j'}|\ :\ (ij)\neq(i'j')\}\wedge\frac{1}{4}\min\{\inf_{\lambda\in X_{s_{i-1}}}|\lambda_{ij}-\lambda|)\ :\ i=1,\cdots,n-1\}\wedge\frac{1}{4}\eta\wedge\frac{1}{4}\beta.$$

For each $i = 0, \cdots, n-1,\ j = 1, \cdots, l_i$, by Lemma A.1, there exists a projection r_{ij} in A such that

$$\hat{\varphi}(1_{\overline{B_\delta(\lambda_{ij})}}) \leq r_{ij} \leq \hat{\varphi}(1_{B_{2\delta}(\lambda_{ij})}). \tag{17}$$

As $B_{2\delta}(\lambda_{ij}) \cap B_{2\delta}(\lambda_{i'j'}) = \phi$ for $(ij) \neq (i'j')$, these inequalities imply that $\{r_{ij}\}$ are mutually orthogonal, and (14), (15) hold.

To see $r_{ij} \in I_{s_{i-1}},\ i = 1, \cdots, n-1$, let $g \in C(X)$ be a function such that $0 \leq g \leq 1,\ g|_{\overline{B_{2\delta}(\lambda_{ij})}} = 1,\ g|_{B_{3\delta}(\lambda_{ij})^c} = 0$. As δ is taken small enough so that $X_{s_{i-1}} \subset B_{3\delta}(\lambda_{ij})^c$, we have $g|_{X_{s_{i-1}}} = 0$. From Proposition 3.1 (v), this implies $\varphi(g) \in I_{s_{i-1}}$. By (17), we have

$$r_{ij} \leq \hat{\varphi}(1_{B_{2\delta}(\lambda_{ij})}) \leq \varphi(g).$$

Hence we obtain $r_{ij} \in I_{s_{i-1}}$. To see $1 - r_{01} \in I_{s_0}$, define $g \in C(X)$ to be a function such that $0 \leq g \leq 1,\ g|_{\overline{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(\lambda_{01})}} = 1,\ g|_{B_\delta(\lambda_{01})^c} = 0$. Then we have $(1-g)|_{X_{s_0}} = 0$. Therefore, by (v) of Proposition 3.1, we obtain $\varphi(1-g) \in I_{s_0}$. This and the inequality $\varphi(g) \leq \hat{\varphi}(1_{\overline{B_\delta(\lambda_{01})}}) \leq r_{01}$ implies $1 - r_{01} \in I_{s_0}$.

To show (16), we apply (iv) of Proposition 3.1. to $\lambda_{ij} \in X_{s_i}$, $B_\delta(\lambda_{ij})$, and obtain $g \in C(X)$ such that $g|_{B_\delta(\lambda_{ij})^c} = 0,\ 0 \leq g \leq 1$. As $0 \leq \varphi(g) \leq \hat{\varphi}(1_{\overline{B_\delta(\lambda_{ij})}}) \leq r_{ij}$, there exists a projection (r_{ij}^k) in B such that $r_{ij} = \pi((r_{ij}^k))$ and $\liminf \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log Tr_{n_k} r_{ij}^k \geq s_i$. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Definition 4.1 *Let X be a compact metric space. For a finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $C(X)$, we say that X satisfies the condition $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a positive number $\delta := \delta_D(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, X) > 0$ and a positive integer $N := N_{\mathcal{D}}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, X)$ satisfying the following: For any unital C^*-algebra $\mathcal{B}$, unital $*$-homomorphism $\varphi : C(X) \to \mathcal{B}$ and a projection $p \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying*

$$\|p\varphi(f) - \varphi(f)p\| < \delta, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F},$$

there exist $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi_j \in X,\ j = 1, \cdots, m,\ \lambda_l \in X,\ l = 1, \cdots, r$, two sets of mutually orthogonal projections $p_j, \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_N(p\mathcal{B}p))\ j = 1, \cdots, m$ and $q_l, \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N+1}(p\mathcal{B}p))\ l = 1, \cdots, r$ with

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} p_j = 1_{M_N(p\mathcal{B}p)}, \quad \sum_{l=1}^{r} q_l = 1_{M_{N+1}(p\mathcal{B}p)}$$

such that

$$\left\| p\varphi(f)p \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(\xi_j)p_j - \sum_{l=1}^{r} f(\lambda_l)q_l \right\| < \varepsilon,$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 4.1 ([EGLP]) *Consider the compact metric space*

$$I^n = [-1,1] \times [-1,1] \times \cdots \times [-1,1],$$

and let $\mathcal{F} := \{g_1, \cdots, g_m\}$ *be a set of generators of* $C(I^n)$*. Then* I^n *satisfies condition* $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$*.*

Now, a nonempty compact convex subset in $\mathbb{R}^m$ is homeomorphic to I^l for some $0 \leq l \leq m$. Therefore, each X_{s_k} $1 \leq k \leq n$ in Proposition 3.2 satisfies the condition $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}|X_{s_k}}$ for $\mathcal{F} = \{1, h_1, \cdots, h_m\}$.
The following Lemma can be proven following the idea of [GL]. We give a sketch of its proof in Appendix.

Lemma 4.1 *Let* X *be a compact metric space and* $X_0, \cdots, X_n$ *a finite sequence of its closed subsets such that*

$$\{x_0\} = X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_n \subset X,$$

where x_0 *is an element in* X*. Let* $\mathcal{F}$ *be a finite subset of* $C(X)$*, and assume that each* X_k*,* $k = 1, \cdots, n$ *satisfies the condition* $D_{\mathcal{F}_k}$ *for* $\mathcal{F}_k := \{f|_{X_k}\ f \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset\subset C(X_k)$*. Furthermore, let* A *be a unital* C^**-algebra with real rank zero and* $I_0, \cdots, I_n$ *a finite sequence of its closed ideals with*

$$\{0\} = I_n \subset \cdots \subset I_1 \subset I_0 \subset A,$$

where I_{k+1} *is an ideal of* I_k *for* $k = 0, \cdots, n-1$*. Let* $\pi_k : A \to A/I_k$ *and* $\pi_{k,k+1} : A/I_{k+1} \to A/I_k$ *be the quotient maps.*
Suppose that there exists a unital $*$*-homomorphism* $\varphi : C(X) \to A$ *satisfying*

$$\pi_k \circ \varphi(g) = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad g|_{X_k} = 0,\ g \in C(X), \tag{18}$$

for each $k = 1, \cdots, n$*. Then for any* $\varepsilon > 0$*, there exists a positive number* $\delta = \delta_T(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, \{X_k\}_{k=0}^n) > 0$ *satisfying the following: if* p *is a projection in* A *with*

$$\pi_0(p) = 1, \quad \|[\varphi(f), p]\| < \delta, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{19}$$

then there exist a sequence of positive integers $N_0, \cdots, N_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $*$*-homomorphisms* $h_k : C(X) \to M_{N_k}(I_k)$ $k = 0, \cdots, n-1$*, and* $H : C(X) \to M_{N_0 + \cdots + N_{n-1}+1}(A)$ *with finite dimensional range, such that*

$$\|\bar{p}\varphi(f)\bar{p} \oplus h_0(f) \oplus \cdots \oplus h_{n-1}(f) - H(f)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{20}$$

Furthermore, h_k *and* H *are of the form*

$$\begin{aligned}
&h_k(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{L_k} f(\xi_{kj}) p_{kj},\\
&p_{kj} \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N_k}(I_k)) \quad j = 1 \cdots L_k, \quad \text{mutually orthogonal},\\
&\xi_{kj} \in X_{k+1}, \quad L_k \in \mathbb{N},\ k = 0, \cdots, n-1,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&H(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} f(\zeta_i) q_i,\\
&q_i \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N_0+\cdots+N_{n-1}+1}(A)), \quad i = 1, \cdots L, \quad \text{mutually orthogonal},\\
&\zeta_i \in X, \quad L \in \mathbb{N},
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$\bar{p} \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{L_0} p_{0j} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{L_{n-1}} p_{n-1,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} q_i. \tag{21}$$

Here, we used the notation $\bar{p} := 1 - p$.

Combining all the results so far obtained, we can show that φ can be approximated by a $*$-homomorphism with finite dimensional range:

Theorem 4.2 *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a unital $*$-homomorphism $G : C(X) \to A$ with finite dimensional range such that*

$$\|\varphi(f) - G(f)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{F} = \{1, h_1, \cdots, h_m\}.$$

Proof We follow the argument in [GL]. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. As X is compact, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $|f(\zeta) - f(\lambda)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\zeta, \lambda \in X$ with $|\zeta - \lambda| < 2\eta$. For this $\eta > 0$, we can find a finite sequence $s_0 > s_1 > \cdots > s_n$ of real numbers and $\lambda_{ij} \in X$ satisfying the conditions in (i) and (ii) of Proposition 3.2. Put $X_k := X_{s_k},\ k = 0, \cdots, n$.
Each $X_k = X_{s_k},\ 1 \le k \le n$ in Proposition 3.2 satisfies the condition $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}|_{X_{s_k}}} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}|_{X_k}}$ for $\mathcal{F}$. For the unital C^*-algebra A, we obtain an ideal tower $\{0\} = I_n \subset \cdots \subset I_1 \subset I_0 \subset A$ where $I_k := I_{s_k},\ k = 0, \cdots, n$. Note that I_{k+1} is an ideal of I_k. By $s_n < 0$, we have $I_n = I_{s_n} = \{0\}$ from Proposition 3.1 (vi). Let $\pi_k : A \to A/I_k,\ \pi_{k,k+1} : A/I_{k+1} \to A/I_k, \quad k = 0, \cdots, n-1$ be the quotient maps. By Proposition 3.1 (v), we have $\pi_k \circ \varphi(g) = 0$, for all $g \in C(X)$ with $g|_{X_k} = 0$, for each $k \ge 1$. Applying Lemma 4.1, we obtain a positive number $\delta_T(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \mathcal{F}, \{X_k\}_{k=0}^n)$.
As X is compact, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $|f(\zeta) - f(\lambda)| < \frac{1}{3}\delta_T(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \mathcal{F}, \{X_k\}_{k=0}^n) \wedge \frac{\varepsilon}{50}$, for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\zeta, \lambda \in X$ with $|\zeta - \lambda| < \beta$.
For this β, applying Proposition 3.2, we can find mutually orthogonal projections $r_{ij} \in \mathrm{Proj}(A)$ satisfying conditions in (iii) of Proppsition 3.2. By the choice of β, we have

$$\left\| \varphi(f) r - \sum_{ij} f(\lambda_{ij}) r_{ij} \right\| \le \frac{1}{3}\delta_T(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \mathcal{F}, \{X_k\}_{k=0}^n) \wedge \frac{\varepsilon}{50} \le \frac{1}{3}\delta_T(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \mathcal{F}, \{X_k\}_{k=0}^n), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{22}$$

where we put $r := \sum_{ij} r_{ij}$. From this inequality, we get

$$\|[\varphi(f), r]\| < \frac{2}{3}\delta_T(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \mathcal{F}, \{X_k\}_{k=0}^n) \wedge \frac{2\varepsilon}{50} < \delta_T(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \mathcal{F}, \{X_k\}_{k=0}^n), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{23}$$

Furthermore, we have $\pi_0(r) = 1$. Applying Lemma 4.1, we obtain a sequence of positive integers $N_0, \cdots, N_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}$, $*$-homomorphisms $h_k : C(X) \to M_{N_k}(I_k)$, $k = 0, \cdots, n-1$, and $H : C(X) \to M_{N_0+\cdots+N_{n-1}+1}(A)$ such that

$$\|\bar{r}\varphi(f)\bar{r} \oplus h_0(f) \oplus \cdots \oplus h_{n-1}(f) - H(f)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{24}$$

with $\bar{r} = 1 - r$. Furthermore, h_k and H are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&h_k(f) = \sum_{l=1}^{L_k} f(\xi_{kl}) p_{kl},\\
&p_{kl} \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N_k}(I_k)) \quad l = 1 \cdots L_k, \quad \text{mutually orthogonal}\\
&\xi_{kl} \in X_{k+1}, \quad L_k \in \mathbb{N},\ k = 0, \cdots, n-1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&H(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} f(\zeta_i) q_i\\
&q_i \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N_0+\cdots+N_{n-1}+1}(A)), \quad i = 1, \cdots L, \quad \text{mutually orthogonal}\\
&\zeta_i \in X, \quad L \in \mathbb{N},
\end{aligned}$$

where the projections satisfy

$$\bar{r} \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{L_0} p_{0j} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{L_{n-1}} p_{n-1,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} q_i. \tag{25}$$

Now recall that for each $k = 0, \cdots, n-1$, the set $\{\lambda_{ij}\}_{i=0,\cdots,k, j=1,\cdots,l_i}$ is 2η-dense in X_{k+1}. Therefore, for each $\xi_{kl} \in X_{k+1}$, we can find $\lambda_{i'j'}$ with $0 \le i' \le k$ such that

$$|\lambda_{i'j'} - \xi_{kl}| < 2\eta.$$

By the choice of η, this means

$$\max\{|f(\lambda_{i'j'}) - f(\xi_{kl})| \ :\ f \in \mathcal{F}\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}.$$

Choose such $\lambda_{i'j'}$ for each ξ_{kl} and denote it by $\hat{\lambda}(\xi_{kl})$. Let $\hat{q}_{ij}^k \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N_k}(A))$, $k = 0, \cdots, n-1, i = 0, \cdots, n-1, j = 1, \cdots, l_i$ be projections given by

$$\hat{q}_{ij}^k := \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{l\,:\,\hat{\lambda}(\xi_{kl}) = \lambda_{ij}} p_{kl}, & i \le k \\ 0, \quad i \ge k+1 \end{cases}.$$

As each p_{kl} is in $M_{N_k}(I_k)$, $\hat{q}^k_{ij}$ is in $M_{N_k}(I_k)$ as well. Note that

$$\sum_{i=0,\cdots,n-1,j=1,\cdots,l_i} \hat{q}^k_{ij} = \sum_{i=0}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{l_i}\hat{q}^k_{ij} = \sum_{i=0}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{l_i}\sum_{l\,:\,\hat{\lambda}(\xi_{kl})=\lambda_{ij}} p_{kl} = \sum_{l=1}^{L_k} p_{kl}.$$

For each $k = 0, \cdots, n-1$, we define a $*$-homomorphism $h'_k \ : \ C(X) \to M_{N_k}(I_k)$ by

$$h'_k(g) := \sum_{i=0}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{l_i} g(\lambda_{ij}) \left(\sum_{l\,:\,\hat{\lambda}(\xi_{kl})=\lambda_{ij}} p_{kl} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{l_i} g(\lambda_{ij})\hat{q}^k_{ij}, \quad g \in C(X).$$

From the choice of $\hat{\lambda}(\xi_{kl})$, we have

$$\|h_k(f) - h'_k(f)\| = \max\left\{ \left| f(\xi_{kl}) - f(\hat{\lambda}(\xi_{kl})) \right| \ : \ l = 1, \cdots, L_k \right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{26}$$

Define mutually orthogonal projections $Q_{ij}, \ i = 0, \cdots, n-1, \ j = 1, \cdots l_i$ in $M_{N_0+\cdots+N_{n-1}}(A)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ij} &:= \hat{q}^0_{ij} \oplus \cdots \oplus \hat{q}^i_{ij} \oplus \hat{q}^{i+1}_{ij} \oplus \cdots \hat{q}^{n-1}_{ij} \\ &= 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0 \oplus \hat{q}^i_{ij} \oplus \hat{q}^{i+1}_{ij} \oplus \cdots \hat{q}^{n-1}_{ij}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

As $\hat{q}^k_{ij}$ is in $M_{N_k}(I_k)$, Q_{ij} is in the ideal $M_{N_0+\cdots+N_{n-1}}(I_i)$. For this Q_{ij} have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{l_i} g(\lambda_{ij}) Q_{ij} = h'_0(g) \oplus \cdots \oplus h'_{n-1}(g), \tag{28}$$

for all $g \in C(X)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\bar{r} \oplus \sum_{ij} Q_{ij} = \bar{r} \oplus \sum_{l} p_{0l} \oplus \cdots \oplus \cdots \sum_{l} p_{n-1,l} = \sum_{i} q_i = H(1).$$

From (24), (26), and (28), we obtain

$$\left\| \bar{r}\varphi(f)\bar{r} \oplus \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{l_i} f(\lambda_{ij}) Q_{ij} - H(f) \right\| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{29}$$

Now, recall that for each r_{ij}, there exists $(r^k_{ij}) \in \mathrm{Proj}B$ with $r_{ij} = \pi((r^k_{ij}))$, which satisfies

$$\liminf_{k} \frac{1}{2n_k+1} \log \mathrm{Tr}_{n_k} r^k_{ij} \geq s_i.$$

Then by (iii) of Proposition 3.1, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $q \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_N(I_i))$, we have

$$q \precsim r_{ij}.$$

In particular, we have

$$Q_{ij} \lesssim r_{ij}.$$

This means there exists a partial isometry $v_{ij} \in M_{1,N_0+\cdots+N_{n-1}}(A)$ such that

$$r'_{ij} := v_{ij}v_{ij}^* \leq r_{ij}, \quad Q_{ij} = v_{ij}^* v_{ij}. \tag{30}$$

Let v be a partial isometry given by

$$v := \left(\bar{r}, \sum_{ij} v_{ij}\right) \in M_{1,N_0+\cdots+N_{n-1}+1}(A),$$

and define $G : C(X) \to A$ by

$$G(f) := vH(f)v^* + \sum_{ij} f(\lambda_{ij})(r_{ij} - r'_{ij}).$$

It is easy to check that G is a unital $*$-homomorphism with finite dimensional range.
By (22), (23) and (29), we obtain

$$\|\varphi(f) - G(f)\| < \varepsilon. \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1
The proof is by contradiction. Assume the assertion were false. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $\{n_k\}_k$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\inf\left\{\max_{1\leq i\leq m} \|H_{i,n_k} - X_{i,n_k}\| \ : \ [X_{i,n_k}, X_{j,n_k}] = 0,\ X_{i,n_k} \in (\mathcal{A}_{n_k})_{sa},\ i,j = 1,\cdots,m\right\} \geq \varepsilon. \tag{31}$$

Applying Theorem 4.2 to this subsequence $\{n_k\}_k$ and $A = \prod_k M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})/\oplus M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$, we obtain a unital $*$-homomorphism $G : C(X) \to A$, with finite dimensional range such that

$$\|\varphi(f) - G(f)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F} = \{1, h_1, \cdots, h_m\}.$$

We can represent G as

$$G(g) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} g(\zeta_j)Q_j, \quad g \in C(X),$$

where $\zeta_j \in X$, and mutually orthogonal projections Q_j in A. From Lemma A.3, there exist mutually orthogonal projections (Q_j^k) in B such that $Q_j = \pi((Q_j^k))$, and $\sum_j Q_j^k = 1_{\mathcal{A}_{n_k}}$. By $\varphi(h_i) = \pi((H_{i,n_k}))$, we get

$$\left\|\pi\left((H_{i,n_k} - \sum_{j=1}^{M} h_i(\zeta_j)Q_j^k)\right)\right\| = \|\varphi(h_i) - G(h_i)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad i = 1,\cdots,m.$$

This means, for k large enough, we have

$$\max_{1\le i\le m}\left\| H_{i,n_k}-\sum_{j=1}^{M} h_i(\zeta_j)Q_j^k\right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},\quad i=1,\cdots,m.$$

Define $X_{i,k}$ by

$$X_{i,k}:=\sum_{j=1}^{M} h_i(\zeta_j)Q_j^k \in (\mathcal{A}_{n_k})_{sa}.$$

Then we have sequences $(X_{1,k})_k,\cdots,(X_{m,k})_k$ such that

$$[X_{i,k},X_{j,k}]=0,\quad X_{i,k}\in (\mathcal{A}_{n_k})_{sa},$$

and

$$\max_{1\le i\le m}\|H_{i,n_k}-X_{i,k}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

eventually. This contradicts (31). □

Acknowledgement.
The author is grateful for Professor Hiroki Matui for helpful explanation on their papers [M] and [MS] and the result of G. Gong and H. Lin [GL]. The present research is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), Sumitomo Foundation, and Inoue Science Research Award.

A C^*-algebra of real rank zero

In this section, we list the results on C^*-algebra of real rank zero that we use.

Lemma A.1 *Let X be a compact metric space, A a unital C^*-algebra with real rank zero, and $\varphi : C(X)\to A$ a unital $*$-homomorphism. Then for any closed subset V of X and open subset U of X with $V\subset U$, there exists a projection r in A such that*

$$\hat{\varphi}(1_V)\le r\le \hat{\varphi}(1_U).$$

Here, $\hat{\varphi}$ is the homomorphism from $C(X)^{**}$ to A^{**} given as the unique extension of φ.

Proof See [B]. □

Lemma A.2 *Let A be a unital C^*-algebra with real rank zero, and I a closed ideal of A with quotient map $\pi : A\to A/I$. Let h be a positive element in A with $\pi(h)^2=\pi(h)$, and B a hereditary C^*-subalgebra of A generated by h. Then there exists $p\in \mathrm{Proj}(B)$ such that $\pi(h)=\pi(p)$.*

Proof See [Z]. □

Lemma A.3 *Let A be a unital C^*-algebra with real rank zero. Let I be a closed ideal of A and $\pi : A \to A/I$ the quotient map. Then for any mutually orthogonal projections $\{p_l\}_{l=1}^N$ in A/I, and a projection p in A with $p_l \le \pi(p)$, $l = 1, \cdots, N$, there exist mutually orthogonal projections $\tilde{p}_l \in A$, $l = 1, \cdots, N$ such that $\pi(\tilde{p}_l) = p_l$ and $\tilde{p}_l \le p$. Furthermore, if $\pi(p) = \sum_l p_l$, $\tilde{p}_l$s can be taken to satisfy $\sum_l \tilde{p}_l = p$.*

Proof See [L1]. □

Lemma A.4 *Let A be a unital C^*-algebra with real rank zero. Let I be a closed ideal of A with quotient map $\pi : A \to A/I$. Let $\{p_l\}_{l=1}^N$ be mutually orthogonal projections in A, and put $p := \sum_{l=1}^N p_l$. Then for any $\delta > 0$ and $x_1, \cdots, x_m \in pAp$ with*

$$\|\pi(x_i)\| < \delta, \quad i = 1, \cdots, m, \tag{32}$$

there exist $e \in \mathrm{Proj}(I)$ and $e_l \in \mathrm{Proj}(p_l I p_l)$ such that

$$e = \sum_{l=1}^N e_l, \quad \|x_j e - e x_j\| < 4\delta, \quad \|(1-e)x_j(1-e)\| < 2\delta, \quad j = 1, \cdots, m.$$

Proof See [GL]. □

B Proof of Lemma 2.1

The proof follows the standard arguments in statistical mechanics, relating the mean entropy and the free energy. (See[BR2]).

First we prove $p(\alpha) \ge \mu(x) + (\alpha, x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$. This is trivial if $\mu(x) = -\infty$. If $\mu(x) > -\infty$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a state $\omega \in S_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying

$$\omega(A_i) = x_i, \ 1 \le i \le m, \quad s(\omega) \ge \mu(x) - \varepsilon. \tag{33}$$

Using the positivity of the relative entropy $0 \le S(\omega|_{\mathcal{A}_n}, \frac{e^{(2n+1)\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i H_{i,n}}}{\mathrm{Tr}_n e^{(2n+1)\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i H_{i,n}}})$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2n+1} S_{[-n,n]}(\omega) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \omega(A_i) \le p(\alpha), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking $n \to \infty$ limit, we obtain

$$s(\omega) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \omega(A_i) \le p(\alpha).$$

By (33), we get $p(\alpha) \ge s(\omega) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i \ge \mu(x) + (\alpha, x) - \varepsilon$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We thus obtain

$$p(\alpha) \ge \sup\{\mu(x) + (\alpha, x) \ : \ x \in \mathbb{R}^m\}.$$

Next we prove $p(\alpha) \leq \sup\{\mu(x) + (\alpha, x) \ : x \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We define a state ρ on $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\rho := \frac{e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i A_i}}{\mathrm{Tr} e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i A_i}}.$$

From this, we can define a translation invariant state $\tilde{\rho} := \bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \rho$. We can easily see that for $y := (\tilde{\rho}(A_1), \cdots \tilde{\rho}(A_m))$,

$$p(\alpha) = s(\tilde{\rho}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \tilde{\rho}(A_i) \leq \mu(y) + (\alpha, y) \leq \sup\{\mu(x) + (\alpha, x) \ : x \in \mathbb{R}^m\}.$$

Hence we obtain the first equality.
To prove the second assertion, we recall the following fact: For a function $G : \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto [-\infty, \infty]$, we define its Legendre transform $G^* : \mathbb{R}^m \to [-\infty, \infty]$ by

$$G^*(u) := \sup\{(\alpha, u) - G(\alpha) \ : \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m\}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Theorem B.1 *[ET] Let F be a convex and lower semi-continuous function of $\mathbb{R}^m$ into $(-\infty, \infty]$. Then we have*

$$F = F^{**}.$$

Applying this theorem to $F := -\mu$, we obtain the claim. □

C Proof of Lemma 4.1

Proof It suffices to show the claim for the case that $\|f\| \leq 1$, for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$. For a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, we define a finite sequence of positive numbers $\delta_0, \cdots, \delta_n$ inductively by $\delta_n := \varepsilon$ and $\delta_k := \min\{\frac{1}{100}\delta_D(\frac{\delta_{k+1}}{3}, \mathcal{F}_{k+1}, X_{k+1}), \frac{1}{100}\delta_{k+1}, \frac{1}{10}\}, k = 0, \cdots, n-1$. We take $\delta < \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$. Let p be a projection satisfying (19) for this δ. We consider the following proposition (A_k), $k = 0, \cdots, n-1$:

(A_k) : There exist positive integers $N_j^k \in \mathbb{N}$, $j = 0, \cdots, k$ and $*$-homomorphisms with finite dimensional range $h_j^k : C(X) \to M_{N_j^k}(I_j / I_{k+1})$ for $j = 0, \cdots, k$, and $H^k \ : \ C(X) \to M_{N_0^k + \cdots + N_k^k + 1}(A/I_{k+1})$ satisfying

$$\left\| \pi_{k+1}(\bar{p}\varphi(f)\bar{p}) \oplus h_0^k(f) \oplus \cdots \oplus h_k^k(f) - H^k(f) \right\| < \delta_{k+1}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}. \qquad (34)$$

Furthermore, h_j^k and H^k are of the form

$$h_j^k(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{L_j^k} f(\xi_{ji}^k) p_{ji}^k,$$

$$p_{ji}^k \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N_j^k}(I_j/I_{k+1})) \quad i = 1, \cdots, L_j^k, \qquad \text{mutually orthogonal}$$

$$\xi_{ji}^k \in X_{j+1}, \quad j = 0, \cdots, k,$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&H^k(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{L^k} f(\zeta_i^k) q_i^k \\
&q_i^k \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1}(A/I_{k+1})), \quad i = 1, \cdots L^k, \quad \text{mutually orthogonal} \\
&\zeta_i^k \in X, \quad L^k \in \mathbb{N},
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$\pi_{k+1}(\bar{p}) \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{L_0^k} p_{0i}^k \oplus \cdots \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{L_k^k} p_{ki}^k = \sum_{i=1}^{L^k} q_i^k.$$

(A_{n-1}) corresponds to the claim of the Lemma.
We assume that (A_k) holds and prove that (A_{k+1}) holds, for $k = 0, \cdots, n-2$. Applying Lemma A.3, to $\{p_{ji}^k\}_{i=1,\cdots,L_j^k} \subset M_{N_j^k}(I_j/I_{k+1})$ in (A_k), we can find mutually orthogonal projections $\{\tilde{p}_{ji}^k\}_{i=1,\cdots,L_j^k}$ in $M_{N_j^k}(I_j/I_{k+2})$ such that $\pi_{k+1,k+2}(\tilde{p}_{ji}^k) = p_{ji}^k$. For $\{q_i^k\}_{i=1,\cdots,L^k} \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1}(A/I_{k+1}))$ in (A_k) and $\hat{P}_{k+1} := \pi_{k+2}(\bar{p}) \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{L_0^k} \tilde{p}_{0i}^k \oplus \cdots \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{L_k^k} \tilde{p}_{ki}^k \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1}(A/I_{k+2}))$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{L^k} q_i^k = \pi_{k+1,k+2}(\hat{P}_{k+1}).$$

Therefore, again by Lemma A.3, there exist mutually orthogonal projections $\tilde{q}_i^k,\ i = 1, \cdots, L^k$ in $M_{N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1}(A/I_{k+2})$ such that

$$\pi_{k+1,k+2}(\tilde{q}_i^k) = q_i^k, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{L^k} \tilde{q}_i^k = \hat{P}_{k+1}, \tag{35}$$

Now, by (34) in (A_k), we have

$$\left\| \pi_{k+1,k+2} \left(\pi_{k+2} \left(\bar{p}\varphi(f)\bar{p} \right) \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{L_0^k} f(\xi_{0i}^k) \tilde{p}_{1i}^k \oplus \cdots \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{L_k^k} f(\xi_{ki}^k) \tilde{p}_{ki}^k - \sum_{i=1}^{L^k} f(\zeta_i^k) \tilde{q}_i^k \right) \right\| < \delta_{k+1}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{36}$$

By Lemma A.4, there exist $e_i \in \mathrm{Proj}(\tilde{q}_i^k M_{N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1}(I_{k+1}/I_{k+2}) \tilde{q}_i^k)$, $i = 1, \cdots, L^k$ and $e := \sum_{i=1}^{L^k} e_i$ such that

$$\left\| \left[\pi_{k+2} \left(\bar{p}\varphi(f)\bar{p} \right) \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{L_0^k} f(\xi_{0i}^k) \tilde{p}_{0i}^k \oplus \cdots \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{L_k^k} f(\xi_{ki}^k) \tilde{p}_{ki}^k - \sum_{i=1}^{L^k} f(\zeta_i^k) \tilde{q}_i^k, e \right] \right\| < 4\delta_{k+1}, \tag{37}$$

and

$$\left\|(1-e)\left(\pi_{k+2}\left(\bar{p}\varphi(f)\bar{p}\right)\oplus\sum_{i=1}^{L_0^k}f(\xi_{0i}^k)\tilde{p}_{0i}^k\oplus\cdots\oplus\sum_{i=1}^{L_k^k}f(\xi_{ki}^k)\tilde{p}_{ki}^k-\sum_{i=1}^{L^k}f(\zeta_i^k)\tilde{q}_i^k\right)(1-e)\right\|<2\delta_{k+1}, \tag{38}$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{F}$. As e commutes with $\tilde{q}_i^k$s, (37) means

$$\left\|\left[\pi_{k+2}\left(\bar{p}\varphi(f)\bar{p}\right)\oplus\sum_{i=1}^{L_0^k}f(\xi_{0i}^k)\tilde{p}_{0i}^k\oplus\cdots\oplus\sum_{i=1}^{L_k^k}f(\xi_{ki}^k)\tilde{p}_{ki}^k,e\right]\right\|<4\delta_{k+1}, \tag{39}$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{F}$. Using this, (19), and the fact

$$e\leq\sum_{i=1}^{L^k}\tilde{q}_i^k=\hat{P}_{k+1}=\pi_{k+2}(\bar{p})\oplus\sum_{i=1}^{L_0^k}\tilde{p}_{0i}^k\oplus\cdots\oplus\sum_{i=1}^{L_k^k}\tilde{p}_{ki}^k\leq\pi_{k+2}(\bar{p})\oplus1\oplus\cdots\oplus1, \tag{40}$$

we have

$$\left\|\left[\pi_{k+2}\left(\varphi(f)\right)\oplus\sum_{i=1}^{L_0^k}f(\xi_{0i}^k)\tilde{p}_{0i}^k\oplus\cdots\oplus\sum_{i=1}^{L_k^k}f(\xi_{ki}^k)\tilde{p}_{ki}^k,e\right]\right\|<4\delta_{k+1}+2\delta, \tag{41}$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{F}$. Furthremore, as $e_i\leq\tilde{q}_i^k,\ i=1,\cdots,L^k$, (38) means

$$\left\|(1-e)\left(\pi_{k+2}\left(\bar{p}\varphi(f)\bar{p}\right)\oplus\sum_{i=1}^{L_0^k}f(\xi_{0i}^k)\tilde{p}_{0i}^k\oplus\cdots\oplus\sum_{i=1}^{L_k^k}f(\xi_{ki}^k)\tilde{p}_{ki}^k\right)(1-e)-\sum_{i=1}^{L^k}f(\zeta_i^k)\left(\tilde{q}_i^k-e_i\right)\right\|<2\delta_{k+1},$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{F}$.
Let $\varphi'_{k+2}:C(X_{k+2})\to M_{N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1}(A/I_{k+2})$ be a $*$-homomorphism defined by

$$\varphi'_{k+2}(g):=\pi_{k+2}\circ\varphi(\hat{g})\oplus\sum_{i=1}^{L_0^k}g(\xi_{0i}^k)\tilde{p}_{0i}^k\oplus\cdots\oplus\sum_{i=1}^{L_k^k}g(\xi_{ki}^k)\tilde{p}_{ki}^k,$$

where $\hat{g}\in C(X)$ is an extension of $g\in C(X_{k+2})$. To see that this is well-defined, let $\hat{g}_1,\hat{g}_2\in C(X)$ be two extensions of g. Then we have $\hat{g}_1-\hat{g}_2|_{X_{k+2}}=0$. By the assumption (18), we have $\pi_{k+2}\circ\varphi(\hat{g}_1-\hat{g}_2)=0$.
From (41), we have

$$\left\|\left[e,\varphi'_{k+2}(f|_{X_{k+2}})\right]\right\|<4\delta_{k+1}+2\delta<6\delta_{k+1}\leq\delta_D(\frac{1}{3}\delta_{k+2},\mathcal{F}_{k+2},X_{k+2}),$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{F}$. Therefore, from the condition $D_{\mathcal{F}_{k+2}}$ of X_{k+2}, we obtain a positive integer ${N^{k+1}}'=N_{\mathcal{D}}(\frac{1}{3}\delta_{k+2},\mathcal{F}_{k+2},X_{k+2})$, points $\xi_{k+1,i}^{k+1}\in X_{k+2},\ i=$

$1,\cdots,L^{k+1}_{k+1}$, $\zeta_i^{k+1'} \in X_{k+2},\ i=1,\cdots,L^{k'}$, and two sets of mutually orthogonal projections

$$p^{k+1}_{k+1,i} \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N^{k+1'}}(eM_{N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1}(A/I_{k+2})e)),\ i=1,\cdots,L^{k+1}_{k+1}$$

and

$$q_i^{k+1'} \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N^{k+1'}+1}(eM_{N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1}(A/I_{k+2})e)) \quad i=1,\cdots,L^k.$$

They statisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{L^{k+1}_{k+1}} p^{k+1}_{k+1,i} = e\otimes 1_{N^{k+1'}}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{L^{k'}} q_i^{k+1'} = e\otimes 1_{(N^{k+1'}+1)}, \tag{42}$$

and

$$\left\| e\varphi'_{k+2}(f|_{X_{k+2}})e \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{L^{k+1}_{k+1}} f(\xi^{k+1}_{k+1,i})p^{k+1}_{k+1,i} - \sum_{i=1}^{L^{k'}} f(\zeta_i^{k+1'})q_i^{k+1'} \right\| < \frac{1}{3}\delta_{k+2}, \tag{43}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$. As $e \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1}(I_{k+1}/I_{k+2}))$, we have $p^{k+1}_{k+1,i} \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{N^{k+1'}(N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1)}(I_{k+1}/I_{k+2}))$ and $q_i^{k+1'} \in \mathrm{Proj}(M_{(N^{k+1'}+1)(N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1)}(A/I_{k+2}))$. Put $N_j^{k+1} := N_j^k$, $L_j^{k+1} := L_j^k$, and $\xi_{ji}^{k+1} := \xi_{ji}^k \in X_{j+1}$, $p_{ji}^{k+1} := \tilde{p}_{ji}^k \in \mathrm{Proj}(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}_j^{k+1}}(\mathrm{I}_j/\mathrm{I}_{k+2}))$ for $j=0,\cdots,k$, and $N_{k+1}^{k+1} := N^{k+1'}(N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1)$.
We then define unital $*$-homomorphisms

$$h_j^{k+1} : C(X) \to M_{N_j^{k+1}}(I_j/I_{k+2})$$
$$h_j^{k+1}(f) := \sum_{i=1}^{L_j^{k+1}} f(\xi_{ji}^{k+1})p_{ji}^{k+1},$$

for $j=0,\cdots,k+1$, and

$$H^{k+1} : C(X) \to M_{N_0^{k+1}+\cdots+N_{k+1}^{k+1}+1}(A/I_{k+2})$$
$$H^{k+1}(g) := \sum_{i=1}^{L^k} g(\zeta_i^k)(\tilde{q}_i^k - e_i) \oplus 0_{M_{N^{k+1'}(N_0^k+\cdots+N_k^k+1)}(A/I_{k+2})} + \sum_{i=1}^{L^{k'}} g(\zeta_i^{k+1'})q_i^{k+1'} =: \sum_{i=1}^{L^{k+1}} g(\zeta_i^{k+1})q_i^{k+1}.$$

From (38), (43), and (39), we obtain

$$\left\|\pi_{k+2}(\bar{p}\varphi(f)\bar{p}) \oplus h_0^{k+1}(f) \oplus \cdots \oplus h_{k+1}^{k+1}(f) - H^{k+1}(f)\right\| < 2\delta_{k+1} + \frac{1}{3}\delta_{k+2} + 8\delta_{k+1} < \delta_{k+2},$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Furthermore, by (35) and (42), we have

$$\pi_{k+2}(\bar{p}) \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{L_0^{k+1}} p_{0i}^{k+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{L_k^{k+1}} p_{k,i}^{k+1} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{L_{k+1}^{k+1}} p_{k+1,i}^{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{L^{k+1}} q_i^{k+1}.$$

Hence we obtain (A_{k+1}).
With the same argument, it can be easily checked that (A_0) holds. □

D General Interaction

The infinite ν-dimensional quantum spin system with one site algebra $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ is given by the UHF C^*-algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}^\nu} := \overline{\bigotimes_{\mathbb{Z}^\nu} M_d(\mathbb{C})}^{C^*},$$

which is the C^*- inductive limit of the local algebras

$$\left\{ \mathcal{A}_\Lambda := \bigotimes_{\Lambda} M_d(\mathbb{C}) \middle| \quad \Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu, \quad |\Lambda| < \infty \right\}.$$

Here, $|\Lambda|$ denotes the number of points in Λ. For each n, we denote ν-dimensional cube $[-n,n]^\nu$ by Λ_n. Let $\gamma_j,\ j \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ be the j-lattice translation.
An interaction is a map Φ from the finite subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ into $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}^\nu}$ such that $\Phi(X) \in \mathcal{A}_X$ and $\Phi(X) = \Phi(X)^*$ for any $X \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. An interaction Φ is said to be translation-invariant if

$$\Phi(X+j) = \gamma_j\left(\Phi(X)\right), \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu, \quad \forall X \Subset \mathbb{Z}^\nu.$$

A norm of an interaction Φ is defined by $\|\Phi\| \equiv \sum_{X \ni 0} |X|^{-1} \|\Phi(X)\|$.

Corollary D.1 *Let $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \cdots, \Phi_m$ be a finite set of translation invariant interactions $\|\Phi_i\| < \infty$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$, in the ν-dimensional quantum spin system $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Z}^\nu}$. For each $i = 1, \cdots, m$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $H_{i,n}$ be an element in $\mathcal{A}_n$ given by*

$$H_{i,n} := \frac{1}{(2n+1)^\nu} \sum_{I \subset [-n,n]^\nu} \Phi_i(I) \in \mathcal{A}_n.$$

Then there exist sequences of selfadjoint elements $Y_{i,n} \in \mathcal{A}_n$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|H_{i,n} - Y_{i,n}\| = 0,$$
$$[Y_{i,n}, Y_{j,n}] = 0, \quad \forall i,j = 1, \cdots, m.$$

Proof
Assume the assertion were false. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\inf \left\{ \max_{1\le i\le m} \left\{ \|H_{i,n} - X_{i,n}\| \right\} \ :\ X_{i,n} \in \mathcal{A}_n,\ [X_{i,n}, X_{j,n}] = 0,\ \forall i,j = 1, \cdots, m, \right\} \right) \ge \varepsilon. \tag{44}$$

For this ε, by the condition $\|\Phi_i\| < \infty$, we may choose $M \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that

$$\sum_{X \ni 0, diam X > \sqrt{M}} |X|^{-1} \|\Phi_i(X)\| + \sum_{X \ni 0, X \not\subset \Lambda_M} |X|^{-1} \|\Phi_i(X)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{45}$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$K_{i,n}^{M} := \frac{1}{\left|\Lambda_{[\frac{n}{M}]}\right|} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{[\frac{n}{M}]}} \gamma_{Mj}\left(H_{i,M}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_n.$$

Then for this $K_{i,n}^{M} \in \mathcal{A}_n$, by Theorem 1.1, there exist $Y_{in}^{M} \in \mathcal{A}_n,\ i = 1, \cdots, m$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\|Y_{in}^{M} - K_{in}^{M}\right\| = 0, \quad \left[Y_{in}^{M}, Y_{jn}^{M}\right] = 0. \tag{46}$$

By the standard argument in spin systems (see [OR] for example) we have

$$\limsup_{n} \left\|K_{in}^{M} - H_{in}\right\| \leq \sum_{X \ni 0, diam X > \sqrt{M}} |X|^{-1} \left\|\Phi_i(X)\right\| + \sum_{X \ni 0, X \not\subset \Lambda_M} |X|^{-1} \left\|\Phi_i(X)\right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{47}$$

From this and (46), we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left\|Y_{in}^{M} - H_{in}\right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, m.$$

This is a contradiction.□

References

[BKSS] I. Bjelaković, T. Krüger, R. Siegmund-Schultze and A. Szkola: *The Shannon-McMillan theorem for ergodic quantum lattice systems* Invent. Math. **155** 203-222, (2004)

[B] L.G. Brown, *Interpolation by projections in C^*-algebras of real rank zero* J. Operator Theory **26** 383-387, (1991)

[BR1] O. Bratteli, D. W. Robinson: *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 1.*; Springer-Verlag, (1986).

[BR2] O. Bratteli, D. W. Robinson: *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 2.*; Springer-Verlag, (1996).

[D] K. R. Davidson : *Almost commuting Hermitian matrices.* Math. Scand. **56** 222-240 (1985).

[DMN1] W. De Roeck, C. Maes, K. Netočny: *Quantum Macrostates, Equivalence of Ensembles and an H-Theorem.* ; J. Math. Phys. **47**, 073303, (2006).

[EGLP] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Lin and C. Pasnicu *Abelian C^*-algebras of real rank zero and inductive limit C^*-algebras*; Duke Math.J. ,**85** 511-554, (1996).

[ET] I. Ekeland and Roger Temam: *Convex analysis and variational problems.*; Classics in Ap- plied Mathematics. 28. Philadelphia, PA (1999).

[FR] P. Friis and M. Rordam: *Almost commuting self-adjoint matrices — a short proof of Huaxin Lin's theorem.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **479**, 121-131 (1996).

[GL] G. Gong and H. Lin: *Almost multiplicative morphisms and almost commuting matrices* J. Opearator Theory **40**, 217-275 (1998).

[L1] H. Lin: *Almost Commuting Selfadjoint Matrices and Applications*; **13**, 193-233, (1997).

[L2] H. Lin: *Almost Multiplicative Morphisms and Some Applications* ; **37**, 121-154, (1997).

[M] H. Matui: *Classification of homomorphisms into simple Z-stable C*-algebras* J. Funct. Anal. **260**, 797-831, (2011).

[MS] H. Matui and Y. Sato: *Z-stability of crossed products by strongly outer actions* To appear in Commun. Math. Phys.

[OR] Y. Ogata and L. Rey-Bellet: *Ruelle-Lanford functions and large deviations for asymptotically decoupled quantum systems* Rev. Math. Phys. **23**, 211-232, (2011).

[RLL] M. Rørdam, F. Larsen, N. Laustsen: *An introduction to K-theory for C*-algebras*; Cambridge University Press

[Sm] B Simon *Statistical Mechanics of Lattice Models*; Princeton University Press, (1994)

[Sn] M. Sion: *On general minimax theorems*; Pasific. J.Math. 8 171-176, (1958).

[Z] S. Zhang *K_x-groups, quasidiagonality and interpolation by multiplier projections* Trans. AMS. **325** 793-818, (1991).